

**RIVERSIDE COUNTY
COMMUNITY CORRECTIONS PARTNERSHIP
EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE**

DOWNTOWN LAW BUILDING
3960 ORANGE STREET, 5TH FLOOR CONFERENCE ROOM, RIVERSIDE, CA

JULY 3, 2012, 1:30 P.M.

AGENDA

1. CALL TO ORDER – ROLL CALL
2. APPROVAL OF MINUTES
 - a) MAY 1, 2012
 - b) JUNE 5, 2012
3. REALIGNMENT FUNDING ALLOCATIONS – DISCUSSION ITEM
4. AMENDED AB 109 IMPLEMENTATION PLAN – DISCUSSION ITEM
5. AOC SITE VISIT – DISCUSSION ITEM
6. DIVISION OF ADULT PAROLE OPERATIONS – A FIVE YEAR ROADMAP TO OUR FUTURE – DISCUSSION ITEM
7. STAFF REPORTS – DISCUSSION ITEMS
 - a) CHIEF OF POLICE
 - b) COURT
 - c) DISTRICT ATTORNEY
 - d) MENTAL HEALTH
 - e) PROBATION
 - f) PUBLIC DEFENDER
 - g) SHERIFF
8. PUBLIC COMMENTS (NON AGENDA ITEMS)
9. NEXT MEETING: AUGUST 7, 2012; 1:30 P.M.

In accordance with State Law (Brown Act):

- *The meetings of the CCP Executive Committee are open to the public. The public may address the Committee within the subject matter jurisdiction of this committee.*
- *Disabled persons may request disability-related accommodations in order to address the CCP Executive Committee. Reasonable accommodations can be made to assist disabled persons if requested 24-hours prior to the meeting by contacting Riverside County Probation Department at (951) 955-2830.*
- *The public may review open session materials at www.probation.co.riverside.ca.us under Related Links tab or at Probation Administration, 3960 Orange St., 6th Floor, Riverside, CA.*
- *Items may be called out of order.*

2a
approved

**RIVERSIDE COUNTY
COMMUNITY CORRECTIONS PARTNERSHIP
EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEETING**

May 1, 2012 – 1:30 p.m.
Downtown Law Building, 3960 Orange Street, 5th Floor, Riverside

MINUTES

=====

1. CALL TO ORDER - ROLL CALL

The meeting was called to order by the Chairman, Chief Probation Officer Alan Crogan at 1:31 p.m.

Roll call of the members:

Alan M. Crogan, Chief Probation Officer, Chairman
Stan Sniff, Sheriff
Jerry Wengerd, Director, Mental Health
Patrick Williams, Chief of Police, Desert Hot Springs

Not Present:

Sherri Carter, Executive Officer, Superior Court
Gary Windom, Public Defender
Paul Zellerbach, District Attorney, Vice Chairman

2. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

Alan Crogan entertained a motion to approve the April 3, 2012 minutes of the Community Corrections Partnership Executive Committee (CCPEC) meeting. Motion was moved by Jerry Wengerd, and seconded by Alan Crogan. Alan Crogan requested a roll call vote of the motion which passed as follows:

Aye: Crogan, Wengerd, Williams
Nay: None
Absent: Carter, Windom, Zellerbach
Abstain: Sniff

3. LATEST PRCS RELEASE NUMBERS FROM CDCR

Alan Crogan briefly reviewed the latest *Numbers of Releases to Post Release Community Supervision* (PRCS) handout received from the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation (CDCR) as of March 28, 2012.

4. HEMET/SAN JACINTO PRCS COMPLIANCE OPERATION

Chief Deputy Probation Officer Bryce Hulstrom reported on the Hemet and San Jacinto PRCS compliance operation that was conducted by the Probation Department staff, the SAFE Team, Gang Task Force, PAC Team (out of the Banning area) and California Highway Patrol (CHP) K-9 unit on April 19, 2012. They went to 53 locations, conducted 32 searches, arrested 10 defendants and imposed Flash Incarcerations on all 10.

5. COMMENTS TO THE AOC

Assistant Chief Probation Officer Mark Hake reported on the Administrative Office of the Courts (AOC) letter of comments that were included in the meeting packet and informed the committee that the letters were submitted to the AOC on time. Alan Crogan handed out a news article regarding the CDCR released report, "*The Future of California Corrections: A blueprint to save billions of dollars, end federal court oversight and improve the prison system*". He briefly reviewed the article with the committee and asked them to read the key points from the article.

6. FINANCIAL REPORT

Administrative Services Manager Doug Moreno reviewed the Financial Report for the period ending on March 31, 2012 which was included in the meeting packet. Projections show approximately \$8.1 million rolling over into the next fiscal year. He reported that the District Attorney and the Public Defender are projecting to spend 100% of their allocation funds. Mental Health is showing a roll over for the next fiscal year. The Probation Department and Sheriff's Department have moved budgeted funds into the fixed asset and equipment categories; and projecting a rollover into the next fiscal year. The next financial reports are due on July 16, 2012. Doug Moreno thanked all the agencies for reporting timely information.

Alan Crogan offered a suggestion to have the Fiscal Procedures Work Group start preparing their proposed budget for the next fiscal year. He stated that the agencies now know what the Governor has in his proposed budget which is approximately \$54 million for Riverside County. He further stated that the May Revise should be coming out on May 15, 2012. Patrick Williams agreed with moving forward with this suggestion.

Alan Crogan entertained a motion to accept the Fiscal Procedures Work Group recommendation motion #1 to receive and file the FY 2011/12 Financial Report - Summary of Expenditures (Schedule A) and the individual CCPEC Agency Financial Reports included in the meeting packet. Motion was moved by Stan Sniff and seconded by Patrick Williams. Alan Crogan requested a roll call vote of the motion which passed as follows:

Aye: Crogan, Sniff, Wengerd, Williams

Nay: None

Absent: Carter, Windom, Zellerbach

7. STAFF REPORTS

- a) CHIEF OF POLICE: Patrick Williams stated that he hopes to have continued collaboration with the District Attorney's office. He reported that he met with Mark Hake in regards to possibly having law enforcement link up with the Probation Department's internal computer system, Juvenile and Adult Management System (JAMS). He then stated that it would be a great tool and he looks forward to ongoing conversation on this subject.
- b) COURT: Not in attendance.
- c) DISTRICT ATTORNEY: Assistant District Attorney Creg Datig reported on the status of Senate Bill 1343: PRCS- Local Law Enforcement Notification; the bill was declined by the Public Safety Committee, however Senator Emmerson is interested in working on advancing this next year. He also reported on the status of Senate Bill 1441: Imprisonment-Sentences Punishable in State Prison; the bill was opposed 5-2.

- d) MENTAL HEALTH: Jerry Wengerd reported that Riverside County Mental Health Department (RCMHD) has filled 18 positions in detention and continue to have 8 vacant positions. They hired 3 out of 6 AB 109 positions. He reported on receiving a CalEMA grant in the amount of \$200,000 which will go towards AB 109 Aftercare Services. He also reported that Mental Health is close to opening up three Specialty Clinics and an Intensity Clinic for the AB 109 population. At the next meeting, Jerry Wengerd will have a recommendation on both re-entry housing and transitional housing.
- e) PROBATION: Chief Deputy Probation Officer Andrea Greer reviewed and discussed the *AB 109 Status Report* dated as of May 1, 2012 which was provided in the meeting packet.
- PRCS packets received from CDCR: 2,317
 - Supervised Release ordered by the Court: 560
 - Total PRCS and Supervised Release being supervised: 1,699
 - PRCS warrants issued since October 1, 2011: 268
 - Revocation Petitions since October 1, 2011: 380
 - Flash Incarcerations since October 1, 2011: 123

Andrea Greer also reviewed the *PRCS Population Received by City - Total Packets, PRCS Population by City - Active Supervision, Supervised Release Cases - Court Ordered Supervised Release Cases* as of April 26, 2012.

- f) PUBLIC DEFENDER: Supervising Deputy Public Defender Karen Hasler introduced herself to the committee.
- g) SHERIFF: Chief Deputy Raymond Gregory reviewed the *AB 109 Impact Update* as of April 26, 2012 which was provided in the meeting packet.
- Parole Violations (3056 PC) – total number: 2,852
 - Flash Incarcerations (3454 PC) – total number: 125
 - PRCS Violations (3455 PC) – total number: 225
 - Inmates Sentenced under 1170(h) PC for Felony Sentence to be served in County Jail – total number: 967

Raymond Gregory further shared that the construction plans for the expansion of the jail in Indio continues to move forward, alternatives such as the use of electronic monitoring or the ankle bracelet program are being discussed, and other alternatives are being discussed with CDCR personnel regarding the exploration of fire camps. A Memorandum of Understanding is currently being worked out between the Probation and Sheriff's Departments to utilize the COMPAS evaluation tool.

Raymond Gregory distributed several different news articles that he discussed with the committee. He indicated that the Riverside County Jail's "Substance Abuse Programs" were being threatened by some court action that is detracting from what they are trying to do. They are working on expanding other programs but are also answering these court challenges as to why they are not able to place everyone in this program.

Stan Sniff also explained that the inmate rights groups and a member of the Public Defender Attorney's office are threatening a class action lawsuit against the Riverside County Jail system. He felt that the committee needed to be aware of this and that he has discussed this with Gary Windom. He further stated that the Sheriff's Department prioritizes on the structure of the protection of the inmates that are in custody against their will and the protection of our

communities. He feels that the committee's cooperation is needed within county government to work together. He then stated that it is important to have dialogue within the committee and felt that this is what this forum is about.

Lastly, Raymond Gregory wanted everyone to understand that there is a need to have a classification within the jails in keeping the inmates and staff safe. He commented that they are proud of their programs, they are continuing to refine them and that they are moving them forward.

8. PUBLIC COMMENTS (NON AGENDA ITEMS)

There were no public comments.

9. NEXT MEETING

The next CCPEC meeting will be held on June 5, 2012, 1:30 p.m., Downtown Law Building, 5th Floor.

Alan Crogan entertained a motion to adjourn; motion was made by Patrick Williams, and seconded by Alan Crogan. The meeting was adjourned at 2:00 p.m.

Aye: Crogan, Sniff, Wengerd, Williams

Nay: None

Absent: Carter, Windom, Zellerbach

An attendance sheet was signed by all present and will be kept on file.

Minutes submitted by Andria Bartkowski, Executive Secretary, Riverside County Probation Department

2b approved

**RIVERSIDE COUNTY
COMMUNITY CORRECTIONS PARTNERSHIP
EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEETING**

June 5, 2012 – 1:30 p.m.
Downtown Law Building, 3960 Orange Street, 5th Floor, Riverside

MINUTES

=====

1. CALL TO ORDER - ROLL CALL

The meeting was called to order by the Chairman, Chief Probation Officer Alan Crogan at 1:31 p.m.

Roll call of the members:

Alan M. Crogan, Chief Probation Officer, Chairman
Jerry Wengerd, Director, Mental Health

Not Present:

Sherri Carter, Executive Officer, Superior Court
Stan Sniff, Sheriff
Gary Windom, Public Defender
Patrick Williams, Chief of Police, Desert Hot Springs
Paul Zellerbach, District Attorney, Vice Chairman

A quorum was not present.

2. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

Alan Crogan explained that the minutes for the May 1, 2012 Community Corrections Partnership Executive Committee (CCPEC) meeting could not be approved due to the quorum not being present. The approval of minutes will be held at the next CCPEC meeting on July 3, 2012.

3. BUDGET DEVELOPMENT

Alan Crogan presented a suggestion to the department representatives that were in attendance and asked for group comments and discussion regarding the year end budget reports that are due on July 16, 2012 and budget proposals for Fiscal Year 2012-13. He suggested having each agency prepare their proposed budgets for next fiscal year and submit any materials to the Probation Department by July 31, 2012 so that it can be distributed to each agency prior to the CCPEC meeting held on August 7th. The current years budgets will serve as a basis for next year and agencies should prepare justification for additional funding. Each agency will have an opportunity to make a presentation during the August 7th meeting and the CCPEC can either adopt the proposed budgets at that time or to carry it on to the September 4th meeting for adoption.

4. STAFF REPORTS

- a) CHIEF OF POLICE: Beaumont Chief of Police Frank Coe reported on behalf of Patrick Williams. The Police and Corrections Team (PACT) have conducted 62 probation searches (12 were wrong addresses), 19 felony arrest (10 were AB 109 PRCS related and 9 were non-AB 109 PRCS related). He also reported that they are working on getting a Probation Officer on each

team full time, surveillances, and meeting with the Murrieta and Riverside Police Departments' PACT to discuss future joint operations.

b) COURT: Not present.

c) DISTRICT ATTORNEY: Not present.

d) MENTAL HEALTH: Jerry Wengerd reported that there are three Specialized Clinics in the regions that are now open and are using both substance abuse and mental health. They will have a clinician who will evaluate and conduct screening at each site. He also reported that the Request for Qualifications (RFQ) was submitted from his department and he will have more information to provide at the next meeting.

e) PROBATION: Chief Deputy Probation Officer Andrea Greer reviewed the *AB 109 Status Report* dated as of May 31, 2012 which was provided in the meeting packet.

- PRCS packets received from CDCR: 2,605
- Supervised Release ordered by the Court: 708
- Total PRCS and Supervised Release being supervised: 2,260
- PRCS warrants issued since October 1, 2011: 346
- Revocation Petitions since October 1, 2011: 488
- Flash Incarcerations since October 1, 2011: 177

Andrea Greer also reviewed the *Post-release Community Supervision (PRCS) Fact Sheet*, *PRCS Population Received by City - Total Packets*, *PRCS Population by City - Active Supervision*, *Supervised Release Cases - Court Ordered Supervised Release Cases* as of May 31, 2012.

Patty Gus followed up with Jerry Wengerd's report on re-entry or emergency housing placement for the PRCS offenders who are released from prison. The RFQ was submitted last week and the closing date will be on June 18th. The housing committee will then meet on June 19th to review the bids.

Andrea Greer also reported that the *Pre-Release Data Report* will be released to the task force on June 6, 2012.

f) PUBLIC DEFENDER: Not present.

g) SHERIFF: Chief Deputy Raymond Gregory reviewed the *AB 109 Impact Update* as of May 30, 2012 which was provided in the meeting packet.

- Parole Violations (3056 PC) – total number: 3,338
- Flash Incarcerations (3454 PC) – total number: 181
- PRCS Violations (3455 PC) – total number: 307
- Inmates Sentenced under 1170(h) PC for Felony Sentence to be served in County Jail – total number: 1,073

Raymond Gregory reported that the COMPAS Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) between the Sheriff and Probation Department is in the executive review and will then be sent to Alan Crogan. The electronic monitoring is improving from a radio base to a GPS base monitoring. They are working out legal and reporting issues. He also reported that Gary Windom and Stan Sniff met following the last CCPEC meeting to discuss the programs that they are developing

which can be used for a wide variety of inmates with the help of Probation and Mental Health's efforts that can be used after custody.

5. PUBLIC COMMENTS (NON AGENDA ITEMS)

No public comments.

6. NEXT MEETING

The next CCPEC meeting will be held on July 3, 2012, 1:30 p.m., Downtown Law Building, 5th Floor.

An attendance sheet was signed by all present and will be kept on file.

Minutes submitted by Andria Bartkowski, Executive Secretary, Riverside County Probation Department

3


Community Corrections Partnership Executive Committee (CCPEC)
Summary of AB 109 Operating Fund Receipts (Budget \$21.1M)
Fiscal Year 2011/12

	Month	Amount (In Millions)
<u>Actual Receipts</u>		
	September 2011	\$ 1.32
	October 2011	1.78
	November 2011	2.05
	December 2011	1.50
	January 2012	1.51
	February 2012	2.57
	March 2012	1.61
	April 2012	1.46
	May 2012	2.22
	June 2012	1.64
	Subtotal Actual Receipts	\$ 17.66
<u>Estimated Receipts</u>		
	July 2012 (average Actual Receipts)	\$ 1.77
	August 2012 (average Actual Receipts)	1.77
	Subtotal Estimated Receipts	\$ 3.54
	Grand Total	\$ 21.20

Riverside County CCPEC

AB 109 Operating Fund Receipts (in millions)

Fiscal Year 2011/12



* Estimated receipts are based on the average of actual receipts.

Survey
CCP New Plan/Addendum

21

County	Chief	New Plan Y/N	Budget Rollover / Plan	Addendum
Amador	Mark Bonini	N	Rollover	Addendum; Phase II of original plan; Use CCP recommendations for funds next year; add positions
Calaveras	Teri Hall	N	Rollover	2012/13 Addendum underway & to follow
Contra Costa	Phillip Kader	N	Rollover	New Budget to Board for additional funds
Glenn	Brandon Thompson	N	Rollover	Addendum; work w/Sheriff for new programs; Exec. Committee to vote on plan/budget 7/19
Humboldt	Bill Damiano	N	Rollover	Addendum to propose increase in supervision staff based on actual PRCS, Split & Increased Formal Probation workload
Kern	David Kuge	Y	Plan	Probation prepared a whole new 30-page plan for 2012/13; other Depts asked to submit their plan; in discussion on plan, & hope to have final vote by 7/11/12
Madera	Rick Dupree	N	Rollover	Yr. 1 = start up; years 2-4, build on year 1; year 5 = final plan
Marin	Michael Daly	N	Rollover	Carry over unused amt. & allocate funding as needed; Addendum later (10/1)
Mariposa	Pete Judy	N	Rollover	Addendum & update - additional funding
Mendocino	Jim Brown	N	Rollover	Expanded services; CCP approved 2012/13 budget
Merced	Scott Ball	N	Rollover	Addendum; Developed 2012/13 Strategies w/recommended enhancements. Updated budget/plan to Exec. Committee
Napa	Mary Butler	N	Rollover	Same %s continued

Survey
CCP New Plan/Addendum

				Resubmitting original proposal for continued funding; Addendum for additional programs for 2012/13
Sacramento	Don Meyer	N	Rollover	
San Bernadino	Michelle Scray	N	Rollover	Both CCP & Board voted on budget
				Track strategies and adjust as needed; projected budget allocation: Probation 50%, Sheriff 44%, 6% contingencies.
San Diego	Mack Jenkins	N	Rollover	
				Created 2012/13 Realign Plan that includes progress report; Exec. CCP voted and approved plan; to Board in July for approval
San Francisco	Wendy Still	Y	Plan	
				New plan; full update to follow; approved by BOS 5/22/12
Santa Barbara	Beverly Taylor	Y	Plan	
Santa Cruz	Scott MacDonald	N	Rollover	Current plan based on goals not dollars
				Allocate additional funding to target areas; Board approved funding; written plan to Board in July
Shasta	Wesley Forman	Y	Plan	
Solano	Christopher Hansen	N	Rollover	Update only; new funding
Sonoma	Robert Ochs	N	Rollover	Use specific CCP recommendations on funds next year
				Plan phases; 1st = 9 mos, 2nd = 2 yrs, CCP approved, more programs/services; Budget to Board in July
Stanislaus	Jill Silva	N	Rollover	
				Addendum; adopted prelim 2012/13 budget w/increased resources & staff; Phase II to start in Summer; plan progress
Sutter	Chris Odom	N	Rollover	
Tehama	Richard Muench	N	Rollover	Budget to Board

Division of Adult Parole Operations - A Five-Year Roadmap to Our Future

Our Future Target Timelines

ID	Task Name	Start	Finish	Duration	2009				2010				2011				2012			
					Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4		
1	Development of the California Parole Supervision and Reintegration Model, including Pilot Program and Statewide Implementation	10/13/2009	6/5/2013	1018d																
2	Realignment of Districts/Parole Units based upon caseload reductions from 70:1 to 53:1	1/25/2010	1/9/2013	731d																
3	Implementation of 500 Electronic Monitoring Devices as an Alternative Sanction	2/15/2010	11/1/2010	260d																
4	Implementation of 400 GPS Devices for Gangs	2/15/2010	10/1/2010	229d																
5	Reduction of Span of Control for Parole Administrators from 8:1 to 5:1 Units	1/25/2010	1/9/2013	731d																
6	Re-Entry Courts	7/1/2010	12/1/2010	154d																
7	Convert Parole Agent II (Specialists) to Parole Agent II (Supervisors) within field Parole Units Only	1/10/2010	8/9/2013	569d																
8	Plan, Develop and Execute the Field Training Officer Program	1/25/2010	9/1/2011	585d																
9	Establish California Parole Apprehension Team (CPAT) to return absconders back under parole supervision	9/1/2009	3/1/2011	547d																
10	Parole Performance Index	12/1/2010	1/1/2011	32d																
11	Parole Supervisor's Academy	11/1/2009	4/19/2010	170d																
12	Restructure of the Parole Outpatient Clinic Program	1/1/2010	1/16/2013	742d																

DIVISION OF ADULT PAROLE OPERATIONS

MISSION STATEMENT

The mission of the Division of Adult Parole Operations is to protect the public and to assist parolees in their reintegration into society.


VALUE STATEMENT

We serve, protect, and are responsible to the public. We will...

- Protect the community.
- Strive for the public's trust and respect.
- Exercise our power and authority judiciously.
- Conduct ourselves in a manner above reproach with honesty, integrity, and respect for others.
- Use public funds and property in the most prudent and cost-effective manner.



We invite you to visit the DAPO website at:
www.cdcr.ca.gov/Parole



Division of Adult Parole Operations Headquarters
1515 S Street, Room 212 N
Sacramento, CA 95811-7243

916-445-6200 Office • 916-323-2249 Facsimile

CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS AND REHABILITATION

DIVISION OF ADULT PAROLE OPERATIONS



*Dedicated to Protecting and
Serving Our Communities*

Robert Ambroselli, Director Division of Adult Parole Operations



The implementation of the Five-Year Roadmap is an investment in our communities. *"By directing our efforts and resources on the most serious offenders through the reduction of caseloads, we are better able to provide quality supervision focusing on the risk and needs of the offenders, all intended to reduce victimization and crime within our communities."*

The Five-Year Roadmap to Our Future...

The Division of Adult Parole Operations has embarked upon a historical and unprecedented transformation, focusing on realigning its practices with progressive and evolving strategies proven to facilitate the long-term reintegration of offenders into the community.

Our goal is two-fold:

- To protect our communities by aligning our practices with those which have proven to reduce recidivism through effective offender supervision strategies, and
- To promote a paradigm and cultural shift where staff openly embrace emerging Correctional practices which have shown to facilitate long-term behavioral change within the offender population.

DAPO's vision, consisting of 12 components, have been incorporated into its Five-Year Roadmap, to include the incorporation of a supervision model based upon two decades of research on effective offender management.

"The components of the Five-Year Roadmap are interrelated elements that support each other and, ultimately, our mission to enhance public safety through evidence-based principles and programs. I am equally proud of the commitment of our staff to embrace ever changing concepts and practices proven to encourage and support an offender's motivation to make a positive change in their lives." said Director Ambroselli.

For more information, we invite you to visit:

http://www.cdcr.ca.gov/Parole/road_map/index.html

The 12 Components of the Five-Year Road Map...

1. California Parole Supervision and Reintegration Model.
2. Reduction of caseloads to enable Parole Agents to focus their efforts on rehabilitation and public safety.
3. The utilization of GPS to enhance the monitoring and surveillance of gang members.
4. The availability of electronic monitoring units for use as an alternative sanction.
5. A reduction in the span of control for District Administrators to enable enhanced accountability of field staff.
6. Structured re-entry courts for offenders with substance abuse and mental health issues.
7. The establishment of a Field Training Program.
8. The establishment of field level supervisors to enhance accountability, oversight, and training in the field.
9. The establishment of California Parole Apprehension Teams to apprehend and return parolees whose whereabouts are unknown back under parole supervision.
10. The development of automated reports to monitor the quality and effectiveness of the management of offenders.
11. The establishment of a Parole Supervisors Academy.
12. The restructure of the mental health delivery system.

Dan Stone, Regional Parole Administrator
Region I

Brian Clay, Regional Parole Administrator
Region II

Maria Franco, Regional Parole Administrator
Region III

Tim Fowler, Regional Parole Administrator
Region IV

Margarita Perez, Deputy Director
Division of Adult Parole Operations

The Division of Adult Parole Operations

A Five-Year Roadmap to Our Future...

Updated November 2011

The Division of Adult Parole Operations (DAPO) has embarked upon one of the greatest reform efforts in the history of parole. **Our goal is twofold:**

- To protect our communities by aligning our practices with those which have proven to reduce recidivism through effective offender supervision strategies, and
- To promote a paradigm and cultural shift where staff openly embrace emerging Correctional practices which have shown to facilitate long-term behavioral change within the offender population.

These strategies, of which some have been made possible through legislation and/or the Budget Act of 2009/10, encompass 12 major components which have been incorporated into a “**FIVE-YEAR ROADMAP**.”

However, as a result of budgetary constraints coupled with the passing of the Criminal Justice Realignment, Assembly Bill 109, adjustments and modifications to the “**FIVE-YEAR ROADMAP**” such as an increase in caseloads, decrease with the use of Electronic-In-Home Detention units and a reduction of Global Positioning System units for gang members are required in order to comply with the law. Also, Assembly Bill 109 seeks to transfer the supervision and jurisdiction of non-violent, non-sexual, and non-serious felons to the counties relieving overcrowding issues.

The 12 major components are as follows:

1. California Parole Supervision and Reintegration Model (CPSRM)

- On October 13, 2009, the Parole Reform Task Force was convened with the objective of aligning our current practices with those that have proven to positively impact offender reintegration. In partnership with the Center for Effective Public Policy, the task force consisted of 19 staff members from all four Regions, to include line-level Parole Agents, DAPO Headquarters, and the Parole Agents Association of California. After reviewing voluminous amounts of information and thoughtfully considering evidence-based practices, lessons learned from both internal and external stakeholders, and research from

over two decades on effective offender management strategies, the California Parole Supervision and Reintegration Model (CPSRM) was born. Training of the CPSRM pilot site staff was delivered in three phases.

- Phase one of the training on the CPSRM was conducted on July 14 and 15, 2010, for staff from the four pilot site Parole Units: Region I, Bakersfield (Kern County); Region II, Santa Rosa 2 (Sonoma County); Region III, San Gabriel Valley 1 (Los Angeles County); and Region IV, Tri-City (San Bernardino County).
- On August 1, 2010, DAPO deployed the CPSRM pilot in one Parole Unit per Region, as noted in the bullet above. The objective of this pilot was to evaluate, refine, and re-evaluate the components of the CPSRM, while utilizing impact, outcomes, and the principles of effective offender reintegration as the guiding standard. Once fully implemented CPSRM will result in the reduction of parolee caseloads from an average of 70 parolees per case carrying to 53 to 1 (excludes parolees on GPS or Enhanced Outpatient Clinic cases), assuming the budget crisis does not impact this reduction. Reduced caseloads will enable staff to incorporate motivational interviewing and interactive skills to facilitate a parolee's willingness to change, to include collaboration with the parolee in the development of an individualized case plan. The evidence has been very consistent in establishing that contact-driven supervision, surveillance, and condition enforcement by itself has a limited ability to change a parolee's behavior or to reduce the likelihood of recidivism. This is not to say that this approach does not have some impact on controlling or suppressing a parolee's criminal behavior while under parole supervision. However, in the absence of other activities geared toward changing their behavior, these efforts are insufficient to enhance public safety through recidivism reduction.
- Phase two of the training consisted of offender transition from prison to parole. This training included pre-release planning, residence verification and case management.
- In an effort to maintain the fidelity of the pilot program, academic staff from the University of California, Irvine, Center for Evidence-Based Corrections, continues to collaborate with DAPO on progress, areas of strength, areas of concern, and provide specific recommendations intended to facilitate an effective supervision model.
- The third and final phase of CPSRM pilot training was completed on January 12, 2011. The training included a presentation by Mimi Carter from the Center for Effective Public Policy, Mark Carey of the Carey Group and Helen Braithwaite from the University of California, Irvine. Initial results from quality assurance reviews were very positive related to the implementation of the first two phases of CPSRM. The implementation of the third phase has concluded; however, modifications continue to be made to the CPSRM pilot consistent with findings, recommendations and outcomes.

- In October of 2011, the statewide CPSRM expansion began. It is anticipated 20 Parole Units (5 per Region) will be trained over a 6 month period, in two phases, until all Parole Units are complete.
- It is through collaboration that DAPO ensures its alignment with evidence-based practices intended to enhance public safety through long-term positive behavioral change, while moving towards statewide implementation.

Achieving this goal will take time, patience, unprecedented focus, and the sustained commitment of every employee within DAPO. We are confident we will achieve this goal together, as we work towards implementing the plan that will move California back into a leadership position for correctional practices.

2. Reduction of the average caseload from 70:1 to 53:1

- Research from the past two decades has proven that excessive caseloads contribute to the inability of a Parole Agent to effectively manage offenders under his or her supervision.
- With the advent of the CPSRM, it is our goal to utilize this model to incorporate those factors which have proven to make our communities safer, to include providing agents the opportunity to provide intensive supervision, and monitoring through reduced caseloads.
- A reduction of the average caseload to 53:1 will occur naturally as the parolee population decreases as a result of the enactment of the 2011 Public Safety Realignment Act, Assembly Bill 109.

3. Utilize Active GPS to enhance the monitoring and surveillance of 400 gang members

- This program provides a tool for Parole Agents to closely track and monitor the whereabouts of gang members, while utilizing the GPS tracking system to be alerted to circumstances when offenders enter prohibited areas and/or fail to abide by curfew restrictions.
- This component is fully implemented and there are up to 400 GPS units available for use on gang members. These offenders are monitored with Active GPS on caseloads strategically located throughout the state.

4. 500 electronic monitoring units for alternative sanctions

- This program is fully implemented. There are up to 500 electronic monitoring units in use for this purpose.

- It enables DAPO and appropriate entities to utilize home detention as a remedial sanction in circumstances where the offender's behavior does not rise to the level where a return to custody is warranted or as a means by which to enforce a curfew.

5. Reduce span of control for District Administrators from 8:1 to 5:1 units

- This will provide District Administrators the means to manage their areas of responsibility most effectively by providing more intensive oversight, direction, and enforcement of professional standards throughout their District.

6. Re-entry courts for offenders with substance abuse and mental health issues

- Senate Bill 18 (3X)/Penal Code 3015) paved the way for the development/expansion of parolee reentry Courts throughout the states to be used as diversionary programs for eligible parolees.
- As a result of this legislation, the California Emergency Management Agency (Cal EMA) was given authorization to award up to seven contracts for the stated reentry services. The contracting process yielded the following service areas/counties: 1. Alameda, 2. Los Angeles, 3. San Diego, 4. San Francisco, 5. San Joaquin, and 6. Santa Clara.
- The process required the cooperation and participation of Cal EMA, the Administrative Office of the Courts, County Re-entry Service Providers, and DAPO.
- These reentry courts have enabled the Board of Parole Hearings or parole staff to refer offenders to this alternative in circumstances where structured substance abuse and/or mental health treatment is warranted.
- CDCR has developed a memorandum of understanding and associated policies/procedures with each of the participating courts.
- The parolee reentry Court programs are now fully implemented.

7. Establish a Field Training Officer (FTO) program for our new cadets graduating from the Basic Parole Agent Academy

While the Department's Parole Agent Academy provides entry-level candidates with solid basic knowledge and enables parole staff to meet standard POST (Peace Officer and Standards Training) requirements, DAPO has implemented a ten-week Field Training Program (FTP) that mirrors POST programs used by law enforcement agencies throughout the State. Further, the field training component:

- Serves as an extension of the Academy, in that a determination of the candidates “full-time permanent status” will not be realized until Parole Agent candidates successfully pass both components;
- Provides the Division with the opportunity to further evaluate the “trainee’s” abilities and practical application of the concepts learned in the academy in a “real life” environment, while enabling the candidate to more easily assimilate into the community and into their new role by working with and learning from seasoned and experienced FTOs;
- Provides each candidate with extensive and focused one on one training with multiple FTOs, and;
- Provides DAPO with the opportunity to eliminate (fail) candidates who do not meet minimum standards.

During the Spring of 2011, DAPO reached the following milestones in anticipation of piloting the FTP:

- Completion of the Field Training Manual, Trainee Performance Guide and FTO Resource Guide.
- Sixty (60) Parole Agents successfully completed a forty-hour POST Certified FTO class.

On March 11, 2011, DAPO piloted the structured ten-week FTP utilizing 22 trainees from the 73 graduates of the January 2011 Parole Agent Academy. The trainees completed their training on May 20, 2011. Program evaluations revealed that Parole Agents who participated in the FTP scored better than those who did not participate or who were assigned a mentor.

In September 2011, DAPO refined the program based upon evaluations and feedback obtained from the first ten-week FTP. The FTO program is fully implemented statewide.

8. Converting our Parole Agent II Specialists to Supervisors

- The reclassification of the Parole Agent Specialist to Supervisor will enhance public safety by making subordinate staff more accountable for their actions, enhance the supervision of field Parole Agents by giving DAPO another legitimate field supervisor, and allow for the effective supervision and management of the Parole Unit in the absence of the Unit Supervisor.
- In addition, the primary function of the Parole Agent II Supervisor would be akin to a Sergeant in a law enforcement agency, in that they train and monitor staff in the field, and provide for the accountability of line-level Parole Agents under their jurisdiction.
- These very important components are currently lacking within DAPO, which leads to a lack of accountability and an overall deficiency in the expected performance of line-level Parole Agents.

9. Establishing the California Parole Apprehension Teams (CPAT) to focus our effort on returning absconders back under parole supervision

- In January 2010, DAPO officially activated CPAT. Since then, there has been a steady and rapid decline in the Parolee-At-Large (PAL) population in every parole Region statewide
- As of January 2011, CPAT has arrested and/or closed interest in over 3,420 PAL cases. This is a direct result of the close working relationship CPAT has with field agents which has contributed significantly to the largest single drop in the PAL population.
- The PAL population currently stands at fewer than 11,478 as of October 2011, from a high of 17,256 in 2009. This lofty accomplishment is directly attributable to the personal responsibility DAPO has taken to make this program the pinnacle of success.

10. In our efforts to assess the effectiveness, quality of parole supervision, and management of caseloads down to the Parole Agent level, DAPO has developed the Parole Performance Index (PPI), a culmination of automated reports for use by supervisors and management alike. These reports include specific categories which enable supervisors to preliminarily assess the extent to which a Parole Agent is effectively managing his or her caseloads. Caseload factors encompass, but are not limited to, the following categories:

- Parolees-at-Large
- Return to Custody Rates (technical vs. non-technical)Referrals by Program
- Use of Electronic In Home Detention
- Homeless/Transient
- Employment/Unemployed/Social Security
- Parole Violation Decision Making Instrument (agree/override/underrides)
- Static 99 (refers to offenders without a valid sex offender assessment by caseload)
- PACATS (refers to the automated system which captures cash assistance expended by Parole Agent, by offender, within specified timeframes).
- Restricted Report (Overtime by Region/District/Unit/Parole Agent)

PPI is fully operational; it is anticipated to be finalized and made available to DAPO staff within the next several weeks.

11. Parole Supervisor's Academy

The first Parole Supervisor Academy was conducted on April 26, 2010, and will continue to be made available as a mandatory component of a supervisor's training and professional repertoire. The Academy is intended to enhance the professional development of mid-level supervisors and is a critical component of DAPO's succession planning.

Further, the curriculum standardizes supervisory practices through the Division, while providing staff with the tools to effectively manage a myriad of responsibilities within their purview.

12. Restructure of the Parole Outpatient Clinic Program

The Parole Outpatient Clinic (POC) program was established to assist paroles with mental health problems. POC staff provides services throughout DAPO. With POC's assistance there is a greater possibility of parolees successfully reintegrating into the community, which aids in the reduction of recidivism rates. POC provides mental health assessments and treatment as it relates to the risks and needs of the mentally ill parolee population. This methodology is directly in-line with DAPO's commitment to keeping our communities safe. POC treatment consists of medication management, group therapy, and individual therapy for mentally ill paroles.

On September 15, 2010, a Taskforce was assembled to review the existing POC model and develop a report addressing recommendations for change and implementation of an improved system.

The assembled taskforce embodied extensive amounts of experience and expertise in correctional clinical treatment. This included POC frontline staff, POC supervisors, union representation, legal advisors, and outside collaborators and stakeholders. Upon establishment of the taskforce members, various component groups and group leaders were determined. These groups were responsible for reviewing mental health treatment, medication management, case management services, sex offender treatment, specialized treatment, resource development, program oversight, quality management and risk management. Each group exerted diversity in discipline and experience, and each core member participated in more than one group.

Each group was instructed to focus on evidence-based practices, national trends, and forensic science to ensure success on developing the best possible treatment model. All group meetings were held by way of weekly re-occurring telephone conferencing, while group participants worked on individual assignments at the group leader's request.

In addition to the reoccurring group meetings, taskforce members and legal advisors assembled and participated in three monthly in-person meetings from January 2011 to March 2011, totaling 11 days.

The Taskforce produced a final report on May 5, 2011. The final report includes modifications and outcomes related to each of the 12 Taskforce recommendations. The recommendations are as follows:

- Eliminate redundancy by program oversight
- Utilizing existing staff form a Risk Management Team
- Combine mental health treatment and case management service
- Improve the quality of psychiatric care through medication management
- Continue use of sex offender treatment under new uniform model
- Conduct study of an evidence-based program targeting anti-social thinking
- Program savings and revenue opportunities
- Maintain appropriate staffing level commensurate with parolee population
- Programs in support of mentally ill offenders
- Utilize POC for remedial sanctions
- Improve and Develop automation systems
- Form POC research committee

Our success in implementing these commitments to good public safety is founded in the following:

- Clearly articulate DAPO's mission to our staff
- Receive feedback, elicit commitment and dedication to our mission to implement the change at every level of our organization
- Informing our stakeholders that these changes must be implemented incrementally and carefully over time by establishing a timeline with milestones
- Understanding data, where to find information to better communicate with our stakeholders and constituents and educating the community on where we are going

Invest in technology and utilize it to learn, communicate more effectively, and analyze our accomplishments.

AB 109 ACTIVITY REPORT

10/1/2011 TO 6/28/12

Western Region Adult - 71

Blaine St - 40

JWP FSP - 13

Main St - 4

JWC FSP -6

FFSP-1

After Discharge From ETS, ITF:

LPS Conservatorship Track - 1

Blaine Outpatient - 1

Released to community-4

Mid-County Region - 57

Hemet MH - 27

Perris MH - 19

Temecula MH-10

Desert Region -48

Indio MH - 27

Banning MH -10

Desert Older Adult -1

Desert FSP -6

Indio CRT -1

AB109 CATHEDRAL CITY- 4**AB109 HEMET- 2****AB109 MH RIVERSIDE - 6****Mental Health184 referrals****Mental Health AB 109 Clinics.....12 referrals****Mental Health Total.....196****Substance Abuse Outpatient - 250**

Riverside SA -88

Hemet SA - 25

Cathedral City SA - 49

Blythe SA -1

Indio SA- 44

Temecula SA-10

Corona SA -9

Declined services- 17

Banning SA-5

SA Residential Contractors -36

Ranch -10,

Metcalf Ranch-1,

Gibson House Women-3,

CV Rescue Mission-4,

House of Hope- 1, House of Miracles- 2, ABC Club -1,

Gibson house for men-5,

1st Step House-2, Riverside recovery Resource-2

Hacienda Valdez-3

Cedar House-1 Happy Homes-1

AB 109 COD-2

Riverside - 2

Hemet -

Cathedral Canyon -

Substance Abuse Total -288

REFERAL SUMMARY

Mental Health referrals.....196
Substance Abuse referrals.....286
AB 109 COD..... 2
Contact with no referral..... 25 (client declined services)
Referral Pending Screening.....18
Out of County Referred.....5
Total Referrals..... 532 (Mental Health, Substance Abuse, combined)

ASPECTS OF REFERRALS

- 20 admitted to ETS:
 - 3 went to TCON process, 1 placed at Board and Care, family assumed responsibility for 4 individuals, 2 released into the community
- 62 registered sex offenders (PC 290)
- 10 with rape charges
- 50 with gang affiliations
- 116 with various violence charges; assaultive Bx, battery, DV, fighting
- 58 reported being homeless at the time of screening
- 71 under "split sentencing" guidelines (new commitments, not from CDCR)
- 4 referred to Veterans Services, Loma Linda
- 1 - CRT INDIO
- 1- RANCHO WEST CRT

RIVERSIDE COUNTY PROBATION DEPARTMENT

ALAN M. CROGAN
CHIEF PROBATION OFFICER

AB 109 STATUS REPORT

Prepared by: Chief Deputy Probation Officer Andrea Greer
Date of Report: July 3, 2012
Data Effective as of: June 27, 2012

SUPERVISION

Post-release Community Supervision (PRCS) packets received from CDCR: **2,792**

- PRCS offenders being supervised: **1,665**
 - High: 941 57%
 - Medium: 237 14%
 - Low: 305 18%
 - Pending Assessment: 182 11%

Supervised Release ordered by the Court: **849**

- Supervised Release offenders being supervised: **455**
 - High: 212 47%
 - Medium: 61 13%
 - Low: 71 16%
 - Pending Assessment: 111 24%
- Supervised Release offenders still in custody: **394**

Total PRCS and Supervised Release being supervised: **2,120**

WARRANTS

PRCS warrants issued since October 1, 2011: **404**

- Outstanding PRCS warrants: **154**
 - Technical violations: 136 88%
 - New offense: 18 12%
- Warrants cleared: **250**

REVOCATIONS

Revocation petitions filed since October 1, 2011: **596**

- Technical violations: 434 73%
- New offense: 162 27%


Flash Incarcerations since October 1, 2011: **219**

RIVERSIDE COUNTY PROBATION

Post-release Community Supervision Fact Sheet

Offenders Under Supervision

Data as of
June 27, 2012



Supervisory District

District 1	387	22%
District 2	239	14%
District 3	295	18%
District 4	290	18%
District 5	332	21%
Out of County	122	7%
Total	1665	


Gender

Males	1485	89%
Females	180	11%
Total	1665	

Resides In:

Aguanga	3	Homeland	6	Palm Springs	27
Anza	4	Indio	72	Perris	89
Banning	26	Jurupa	1	Quail Valley	3
Beaumont	23	La Quinta	10	Rancho Belago	2
Bermuda Dunes	3	Lake Elsinore	53	Rancho Mirage	3
Blythe	21	March Air Reserve Base	3	Riverside	229
Cabazon	4	Mecca	1	Romoland	5
Calimesa	2	Menifee	12	Rubidoux	57
Canyon Lake	2	Mira Loma	13	San Jacinto	38
Cathedral City	25	Moreno Valley	162	Sun City	15
Cherry Valley	5	Mountain Center	1	Temecula	18
Coachella	30	Murrieta	35	Thermal	7
Corona	84	Norco	16	Thousand Palms	10
Desert Hot Springs	51	North Palm Springs	3	White Water	1
Eastvale	1	Nuevo	9	Wildomar	32
Hemet	153	Palm Desert	12	Winchester	7

Resident	1,389
Homeless	159
Out of County	102
Out of State	15
Total	1,665



Sub-Categories

Crimes Against Children	35
Domestic Violence	103
Drug/Manufacture/Sell	253
Drug/Possess/Use	262
DUI	37
Firearms/Weapons	142
Other	51
Property/Other	26
Property/Theft	651
Sex	40
Violence	65
Total	1665

RIVERSIDE COUNTY PROBATION DEPARTMENT
PRCS Population Packets Received by City
Total Packets 2,792 as of June 27, 2012
Male: 2,469; Female: 323

Riverside County					
Aguanga	3	Murrieta	45	Chiriaco Summit	0
Anza	5	Norco	23	Coachella	41
Banning	49	Nuevo	11	Desert Hot Springs	74
Beaumont	41	Perris	137	Indian Wells	0
Blythe	29	Quail Valley	4	Indio	103
Cabazon	5	Rancho Belago	2	Indio Hills	0
Calimesa	4	Riverside	350	La Quinta	13
Canyon Lake	3	Romoland	6	Mecca	6
Cherry Valley	6	Rubidoux	72	North Palm Springs	3
Corona	116	San Jacinto	59	North Shores	0
Eastvale	1	Sun City	20	Oasis	0
Hemet	216	Temecula	37	Palm Desert	20
Homeland	10	White Water	2	Palm Springs	44
Jurupa	1	Wildomar	42	Rancho Mirage	4
Lake Elsinore	74	Winchester	11	Sky Valley	0
March Air Reserve Base	3			Thermal	12
Menifee	20	Coachella Valley		Thousand Palms	10
Mira Loma	22	Bermuda Dunes	3	Total	2,016
Moreno Valley	221	Cahuilla Hills	0		
Mountain Center	1	Cathedral City	32	Out of County	383
				Out of State	19
PRCS Homeless					
Banning	3	Indio	33	Riverside	220
Beaumont	4	Jurupa Valley	1	Rubidoux	1
Blythe	2	La Quinta	1	San Jacinto	2
Cabazon	1	Lake Elsinore	5	Sun City	1
Cathedral City	3	Mira Loma	1	Temecula	3
Coachella Valley	1	Moreno Valley	10	Wildomar	1
Corona	4	Murrieta	1	Total	356
Desert Hot Springs	3	Palm Springs	4		
Hemet	20	Perris	31	Out of County	17
				Out of State	1

RIVERSIDE COUNTY PROBATION DEPARTMENT
Post Release Community Supervision (PRCS)
Population by City as of June 27, 2012
Active Supervision 1,665 Offenders
Male: 1,485; Female: 180

Riverside County					
Aguanga	3	Homeland	6	Palm Springs	27
Anza	4	Indio	72	Perris	89
Banning	26	Jurupa	1	Quail Valley	3
Beaumont	23	La Quinta	10	Rancho Belago	2
Bermuda Dunes	3	Lake Elsinore	53	Rancho Mirage	3
Blythe	21	March Air Reserve Base	3	Riverside	229
Cabazon	4	Mecca	1	Romoland	5
Calimesa	2	Menifee	12	Rubidoux	57
Canyon Lake	2	Mira Loma	13	San Jacinto	38
Cathedral City	25	Moreno Valley	162	Sun City	15
Cherry Valley	5	Mountain Center	1	Temecula	18
Coachella	30	Murrieta	35	Thermal	7
Corona	84	Norco	16	Thousand Palms	10
Desert Hot Springs	51	North Palm Springs	3	White Water	1
Eastvale	1	Nuevo	9	Wildomar	32
Hemet	153	Palm Desert	12	Winchester	7
				Total	1,389
				Out of County	102
				Out of State	15
Homeless					
Blythe	1	Indio	19	Perris	11
Cathedral City	2	Lake Elsinore	2	Riverside	98
Coachella Valley	1	Mira Loma	1	San Jacinto	1
Corona	3	Moreno Valley	3	Temecula	3
Hemet	9	Palm Springs	1	Total	155
				Out of County	3
				Out of State	1

RIVERSIDE COUNTY PROBATION DEPARTMENT

Supervised Release Cases

Population by City as of June 27, 2012

Court Ordered Supervised Release Cases: 849 (Male: 685; Female: 164)

Active Supervision: 455 (Male: 369; Female: 86)

Court Ordered Supervised Release Cases					
Anza	2	Mira Loma	6	Homeless	
Banning	12	Moreno Valley	49	Banning	1
Beaumont	15	Mountain Center	1	Bermuda Dunes	1
Bermuda Dunes	3	Murrieta	12	Canyon Lake	1
Blythe	18	Norco	11	Cathedral City	1
Cabazon	1	Nuevo	2	Coachella	2
Calimesa	1	Palm Desert	9	Corona	2
Canyon Lake	1	Palm Springs	26	Desert Hot Springs	2
Cathedral City	26	Perris	38	Hemet	1
Coachella	17	Riverside	125	Indio	13
Corona	34	Romoland	4	Lake Elsinore	1
Desert Hot Springs	33	Rubidoux	8	Moreno Valley	3
Eastvale	1	San Jacinto	18	Palm Desert	1
Hemet	65	Sun City	1	Palm Springs	5
Homeland	3	Temecula	5	Perris	3
Indio	37	Thermal	6	Riverside	27
La Quinta	10	Thousand Palms	6	Thousand Palms	1
Lake Elsinore	17	Wildomar	4	Total	65
Mecca	4	Winchester	1		
Menifee	6	Total	638	Out of County	141
				Out of State	5
Active Supervision – In Community					
Anza	2	Menifee	3	Wildomar	3
Banning	4	Mira Loma	4	Total	348
Beaumont	3	Moreno Valley	36		
Bermuda Dunes	1	Murrieta	6	Homeless	
Blythe	10	Norco	7	Banning	1
Canyon Lake	1	Nuevo	1	Canyon Lake	1
Cathedral City	16	Palm Desert	4	Corona	1
Coachella	10	Palm Springs	10	Desert Hot Springs	1
Corona	18	Perris	20	Indio	2
Desert Hot Springs	14	Riverside	64	Lake Elsinore	1
Eastvale	1	Romoland	4	Moreno Valley	2
Hemet	38	Rubidoux	6	Palm Desert	1
Homeland	3	San Jacinto	11	Palm Springs	4
Indio	17	Sun City	1	Perris	1
La Quinta	9	Temecula	2	Riverside	15
Lake Elsinore	12	Thermal	3	Total	30
Mecca	1	Thousand Palms	3		
				Out of County	75
				Out of State	2



19

RIVERSIDE COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT

STANLEY SNIFF, SHERIFF / CORONER

TO: CCP Executive Committee

DATE: June 27, 2012

FROM: Sheriff Stan Sniff

Point of Contact: Chief Deputy R. Gregory (951) 955-2446, rgregory@riversidesheriff.org

RE: AB 109 Impact Update

Since State Prison Realignment under AB 109 went into effect on October 1, 2011, the jails in Riverside County have experienced a substantial increase in inmate population. As of June 27, 2012, our average daily population was 3,789 or 97 percent of our maximum capacity (3,906 beds).

In the first week of January 2012, our facilities hit maximum capacity, requiring us to initiate releases pursuant to federal court order SA-CV-93808 to relieve headcount overcrowding. These types of releases have continued since that time. Year-to-date, 2,905 inmates have been released per the court order.

Inmates booked in since AB 109 went into effect who can be directly attributed to AB 109 realignment are:

Parole Violations (3056 PC)

The total number of inmates booked for parole violations is 3,740.

- 2,385 booked for 3056 PC only; 1,355 booked for 3056 PC plus additional charge(s).
- 274 inmates remain in custody on a 3056 PC violation only.

Flash Incarcerations (3454 PC)

The total number of inmates booked in for Flash Incarceration is 231.

- The number currently in custody is 6.

Post Release Community Supervision (PRCS) Violations (3455 PC)

The total number of inmates booked in for PRCS violations is 402.

- 222 were booked in with a 3455 only; 180 had additional charges.
- 84 of these remain in custody.

Inmates Sentenced under 1170(h) PC for Felony Sentence to be served in County Jail

The total number of inmates sentenced per 1170(h) PC is 1,192.

- This equates to approximately 19.4 percent of all inmates sentenced to jail during this period of time
- 615 of these remain in custody, which is approximately 16.2 percent of our total population.
- 155 of these inmates have been sentenced to 3 years or more. The longest sentence for someone currently in custody is 12 years, 8 months.

Summary

The total number of inmates booked directly attributed to AB 109 realignment is 4,210. The number of those currently in custody equals 979, or approximately 25.8 percent the total jail population.